

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/849,310 | 05/19/2004 | Cheng T. Horng | HT03-025/31 | 5783 |
| 759 | 90 02/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN | | | DANG, PHUC T | |
| 28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2818 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office-communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/849,310 | HORNG ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| | | PHUC T. DANG | 2818 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | . • | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M | lay 2004. | • | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for alloward | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the ments is | | | |
| <u> </u> | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | • | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/849,310

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Group I, Claims 1-10 and 21-29, drawn to a magnetic tunneling junction (MTJ) and a tunneling magnetoresistive (TMR), classified in class 257, subclass 295.
- II. Group II, Claims 11-20 and 30-38, drawn to a method of forming a magnetic tunneling junction (MTJ) and a tunneling magnetoresistive (TMR), classified in class 438, subclass 3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions I and II are related as method of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group I invention, since the device of Group I invention could be made by a product different from those of the Group II invention. However, the issues of method and product claims are divergent. Furthermore, there may be some overlap in the searches of the two groups, but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be identical. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two inventions together, restriction of distinct inventions is clearly proper.
- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined eventhough the requirement be traverse (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

Phuc T. Dang PD Sangflew

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818